

射影空间上涉及 q, c 阶差分算子的第二基本定理

樊福芝, 刘晓俊

(上海理工大学 理学院, 上海 200093)

摘要: 研究了从复平面 $\mathbb{C}$ 到复射影空间 $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ 上零级全纯映射的第二基本定理问题。根据其他文献处理重值的方法和技巧, 从 q, c 阶差分算子的角度得到了推广的 Nevanlinna 第二基本定理, 即射影空间 $\mathbb{P}^n$ 上零级全纯映射, 涉及 q, c 阶差分算子 $\Delta_{q,c}f = f(qz + c) - f(z)$ 的第二基本定理. 并利用其证明了当 f 与 $\Delta_{q,c}f$ CM (计重数) 分担至少 $N+2$ 个处于一般位置的超平面时, $W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) \equiv 0$. 改进和推广了一些处于一般位置超平面的全纯映射唯一性结果.

关键词: q, c 阶差分; 全纯映射; 超平面; 朗斯基行列式

中图分类号: O 174.52 **文献标志码:** A

Second Main Theorem About Holomorphic Maps on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ Related to q, c -Difference Operators

FAN Fuzhi, LIU Xiaojun

(College of Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China)

Abstract: The second main theorem of holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{C}$ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of zero order was studied. According to the method and skill of other documents for processing heavy values, the second main theorem of generalized Nevanlinna was obtained from the view angle of difference operators, that is, the second main theorem about the holomorphic maps of zero-order on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, which are related to the q, c difference operator. By virtue of the theorem, it is proved that $W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) \equiv 0$, if f and $\Delta_{q,c}f$ share at least $N+2$ hyperplanes in general positions on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, and the results of holomorphic maps uniqueness are improved and generalized.

Keywords: q, c difference operator; holomorphic maps; hyperplanes; Wronskian determinate

收稿日期: 2017-04-22

基金项目: 国家自然科学基金青年基金资助项目(11401381)

第一作者: 樊福芝(1991-), 女, 硕士研究生. 研究方向: 复分析. E-mail: 467578156@qq.com

通信作者: 刘晓俊(1982-), 男, 副教授. 研究方向: 复分析. E-mail: xiaojunliu2007@hotmail.com

1 问题的提出

Nevanlinna^[1]在1925年建立了复平面上关于亚纯函数的第二基本定理. Cartan^[2]将其推广到复射影空间 $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ 中全纯曲线上,得到了相应的第二基本定理. Huang等^[3]证明了Nevanlinna第二基本定理在复平面 $\mathbb{C}$ 上更一般的形式,见定理1.

定理1 设函数 f 在复平面 $\mathbb{C}$ 上非常值亚纯, $a_1, \dots, a_q$ 是 q 个互不相同的复数, 则对于任给的 $\varepsilon > 0$ 有

$$\sum_{j=1}^q m_f(r, a_j) + N_{ram, f}(r) \leq 2T(r, f) +$$

$$\log T_f(r) + (1 + \varepsilon) \log^+ \log T_f(r) + O(1)$$

式中, $N_{ram, f}(r) = N_{f'}(r, 0) + 2N_f(r, \infty) - N_{f'}(r, \infty)$, $r \rightarrow \infty$ 且 $r \notin E$, 这里 $E \subset (0, \infty)$ 是一个对数测度为有穷的集合.

后来, Wong^[4]将这一经典理论推广到了高维的情况(更多的拓展参见文献[5-7]), 得到如下定理.

定理2^[4] 设 $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ 是一个线性非退化的全纯映射, $H_1, \dots, H_q$ 是 q 个处于一般位置的超平面, 则

$$\sum_{j=1}^q m_f(r, H_j) + N_{ram, f}(r) \leq$$

$$(n+1)T_f(r) + S(r, f)$$

式中, $N_{ram, f}(r) = N(r, \mathbf{W}(f) = 0)$ 是 f 的朗斯基行列式零点的计数函数. $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$, $r \rightarrow \infty$ 且 $r \notin E$, 这里 $E \subset (0, +\infty)$ 是一个对数测度为有穷的集合.

2006年, Halburd等^[8]考虑用差分算子 $\Delta_c f = f(z+c) - f(z) \not\equiv 0$ 来替代 f' , 得到了涉及差分算子所对应的情形.

定理3^[8] 设 f 是复平面 $\mathbb{C}$ 上的有穷级亚纯函数, 满足 $\Delta_c f = f(z+c) - f(z) \not\equiv 0$, 其中 $c \neq 0$ 是常数, 则对于 q 个互异的点 $a_1, \dots, a_q \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ 有

$$\sum_{j=1}^q m_f(r, a_j) + N_{pair}(r) \leq 2T_f(r) + S(r, f)$$

其中

$$N_{pair}(r) = 2N(r, f = \infty) - N(r, f(z+c) - f(z) = \infty) + N(r, f(z+c) - f(z) = 0)$$

亚纯函数可视作复平面 $\mathbb{C}$ 到射影空间 $\mathbb{P}$ 的全纯映射, 后来 Halburd等^[9]又给出了差分朗斯基行列式 $\mathbf{W}^{\Delta_c}(f)$ 的定义, 将定理3推广到高维复射影

空间.

定理4^[9] 设 $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ 是一个有穷级的全纯映射, 且 $f(\mathbb{C}) \not\subset H_i, i = 1, \dots, q$, 这里 $H_1, \dots, H_q$ 是 q 个处于一般位置的超平面. 若 $\mathbf{W}^{\Delta_c}(f) \not\equiv 0$, 其中 $c \neq 0$ 是常数, 则

$$\sum_{j=1}^q m_f(r, H_j) + N_{\Delta_c}(r) \leq$$

$$(n+1)T_f(r) + S(r, f)$$

式中, $N_{\Delta_c}(r) = N(r, \mathbf{W}^{\Delta_c}(f) = 0)$.

受此启发, 考虑如下的 q, c 阶差分算子 $\Delta_{q, c} f = f(qz+c) - f(z)$, 其中 $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, c \in \mathbb{C}$, 并将定理4作如下推广, 得到定理5.

定理5 设 $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ 是一个零级全纯映射, 并且 $f(\mathbb{C}) \not\subset H_i, i = 1, \dots, q, H_1, \dots, H_q$ 是 q 个处于一般位置的超平面. 若 $\mathbf{W}^{\Delta_{q, c}}(f) \not\equiv 0, q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, c \in \mathbb{C}$, 则对任给的 $\varepsilon > 0$ 有

$$\sum_{j=1}^q m_f(r, H_j) + N_{\Delta_{q, c}}(r) \leq$$

$$(n+1)T_f(r) + S(r, f)$$

式中, $N_{\Delta_{q, c}}(r) = N(r, \mathbf{W}^{\Delta_{q, c}}(f) = 0)$.

2 引理及证明

引理1 (Nevanlinna第一基本定理) 设 $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ 是亚纯映射, 且 $f(\mathbb{C}) \not\subset H$, 这里 H 是处于一般位置的超平面, 则

$$m_f(r, H) + N_f(r, H) = T_f(r) \quad (r > 1)$$

引理2^[10] 设 f 是零级的亚纯函数, $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, c \in \mathbb{C}$, 则

$$m\left(r, \frac{f(qz+c)}{f(z)}\right) = S(r, f)$$

引理3 设 f 是零级的亚纯函数, $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, c \in \mathbb{C}$, 则

$$m\left(r, \frac{f(z)}{f(qz+c)}\right) = S(r, f)$$

且 $T(r, qz+c) = T(r, f(z)) + S(r, f)$.

定义1 设 $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ 是全纯映射, $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ 是 f 的既约表示, 其中 $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n$ 是无公共零点的全纯函数. 记 $H = \left\{ [w_0, \dots, w_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \sum_{i=0}^n a_i w_i = 0 \right\}$, 其中 $\tilde{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_n)$ 是

$\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ 上的非零表示. 当 $\langle \tilde{f}(z), \tilde{a} \rangle \neq 0$ 时, 记

$$\lambda_H(f(z)) = \log \frac{\|\tilde{f}(z)\| \|\tilde{a}\|}{|\langle \tilde{f}(z), \tilde{a} \rangle|}, m_f(r, H) =$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \lambda_H(f(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

类似于文献[10]中的定义, 则有

定义 2 设 h 是亚纯函数, 则 $\Delta_{q,c}^n h = \Delta_{q,c}^{n-1}(\Delta_{q,c}(h))$. 记 $h(z) \equiv \bar{h} := \bar{h}^{[0]}$, $h(qz+c) \equiv \bar{h} := \bar{h}^{[1]}$, $h(q(qz+c)+c) \equiv \bar{h} := \bar{h}^{[2]}$, $\dots$, $h(q(q(qz+c)+c)\dots) \equiv \bar{h}^{[k]}$.

定义 3 设 $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ 是全纯映射, $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ 是 f 的既约表示, $\tilde{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_n)$ 是 $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ 上的非零表示, $\tilde{f}$ 与 $\Delta_{q,c} \tilde{f}$ 分担 $\tilde{a}$ 是指 $\langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a} \rangle = 0$ 当且仅当 $\langle \Delta_{q,c} \tilde{f}, \tilde{a} \rangle = 0$. 若 $\langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a} \rangle = 0$ 与 $\langle \Delta_{q,c} \tilde{f}, \tilde{a} \rangle = 0$ 有相同的零点和重数, 则称 $\tilde{f}$ 与 $\Delta_{q,c} \tilde{f}$ CM (counting multiplicities) 分担 $\tilde{a}$.

引理 4^[11] (转换律) 设 $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ 是一个全纯映射, $f = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$, $\tilde{f}$ 是 f 的一个既约表示, φ 是 $\mathbb{P}^n$ 上的一个自同构, 则

$$W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(\varphi \circ f) = c_\varphi W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f)$$

其中,

$$W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & f_1 & \cdots & f_n \\ \Delta_{q,c} f_0 & \Delta_{q,c} f_1 & \cdots & \Delta_{q,c} f_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \Delta_{q,c}^n f_0 & \Delta_{q,c}^n f_1 & \cdots & \Delta_{q,c}^n f_n \end{vmatrix},$$

$$q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, c \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$c_\varphi = \det \varphi_* = \begin{vmatrix} a_{00} & a_{10} & \cdots & a_{n0} \\ a_{01} & a_{11} & \cdots & a_{n1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{0n} & a_{1n} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

证明 记

$$g_j = L_j \circ \tilde{f}$$

其中 $L_j(w) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ji} w_i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n, w = (w_0, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, (w_0, \dots, w_n)$ 是 $\mathbb{P}^n$ 上的

一组齐次坐标. 则

$$W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(\varphi \circ f) =$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_n \\ \Delta_{q,c} g_0 & \Delta_{q,c} g_1 & \cdots & \Delta_{q,c} g_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \Delta_{q,c}^n g_0 & \Delta_{q,c}^n g_1 & \cdots & \Delta_{q,c}^n g_n \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_0 & f_1 & \cdots & f_n \\ \Delta_{q,c} f_0 & \Delta_{q,c} f_1 & \cdots & \Delta_{q,c} f_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \Delta_{q,c}^n f_0 & \Delta_{q,c}^n f_1 & \cdots & \Delta_{q,c}^n f_n \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} a_{00} & a_{10} & \cdots & a_{n0} \\ a_{01} & a_{11} & \cdots & a_{n1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{0n} & a_{1n} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} f_0 & f_1 & \cdots & f_n \\ \Delta_{q,c} f_0 & \Delta_{q,c} f_1 & \cdots & \Delta_{q,c} f_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \Delta_{q,c}^n f_0 & \Delta_{q,c}^n f_1 & \cdots & \Delta_{q,c}^n f_n \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} a_{00} & a_{10} & \cdots & a_{n0} \\ a_{01} & a_{11} & \cdots & a_{n1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{0n} & a_{1n} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) \det \varphi_* = W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) c_\varphi$$

于是引理 4 得证.

引理 5 设 $H_1, \dots, H_q$ 是 $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ 上 q 个处于一般位置的超平面, 记 T 为所有单射 $\mu: \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, q\}$ 的集合, 则有

$$\sum_{j=1}^q m_f(r, H_j) \leq$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \max_{\mu \in T} \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_{H_{\mu(i)}}(f(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + S(r, f)$$

类似于文献[10]中定理 A3.1.3, 则有

引理 6 设 $f = [f_0, \dots, f_n]: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ 是零级的全纯曲线, $H_1, \dots, H_q$ 是 $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ 上任意 q 个超平面, 且使得 $f(\mathbb{C}) \not\subset H_i, i = 1, \dots, q$. 设 $K \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$, 使得 $\tilde{a}_k (k \in K, \tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_q \text{ 是 } H_j (1 \leq j \leq q) \text{ 的系数})$ 线性无关. 则

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \max_K \sum_{k \in K} \lambda_{H_k}(f(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} +$$

$N(r, W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f)) = 0) \leq (n+1)T_f(r) + S(r, f)$ $r \rightarrow \infty$ 且 $r \notin E$, 这里 $E \subset (0, \infty)$ 是一个对数测度为有穷的集合.

证明 设 $H_1, \dots, H_q$ 是 $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ 上的超平面, 不失一般性, $q \geq n+1, \# K = n+1$. 记 T 是所有使得 $\tilde{a}_{\mu(0)}, \dots, \tilde{a}_{\mu(n)}$ 线性无关的单射 $\mu: \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, q\}$ 的集合. 则

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \max_K \sum_{k \in K} \lambda_{H_k}(f(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \int_0^{2\pi} \max_{\mu \in T} \sum_{j=0}^n \log \left(\frac{\|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\| \|\tilde{a}_{\mu(j)}\|}{|\langle \tilde{f}(re^{i\theta}), \tilde{a}_{\mu(j)} \rangle|} \right) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left\{ \max_{\mu \in T} \left(\frac{\|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\|^{n+1}}{\prod_{j=0}^n |\langle \tilde{f}(re^{i\theta}), \tilde{a}_{\mu(j)} \rangle|} \right) \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(1) \leq \\
& \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left\{ \sum_{\mu \in T} \left(\frac{\|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\|^{n+1}}{\prod_{j=0}^n |\langle \tilde{f}(re^{i\theta}), \tilde{a}_{\mu(j)} \rangle|} \right) \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(1) = \\
& \int_0^{2\pi} \log \sum_{\mu \in T} \left\{ \left(\frac{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(\langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a}_{\mu(0)} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a}_{\mu(n)} \rangle)(re^{i\theta})|}{\prod_{j=0}^n |\langle \tilde{f}(re^{i\theta}), \tilde{a}_{\mu(j)} \rangle|} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left(\frac{\|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\|^{n+1}}{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(\langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a}_{\mu(0)} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a}_{\mu(n)} \rangle)(re^{i\theta})|} \right) \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(1) = \\
& \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left\{ \sum_{\mu \in T} \left(\frac{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(\langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a}_{\mu(0)} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a}_{\mu(n)} \rangle)(re^{i\theta})|}{\prod_{j=0}^n |\langle \tilde{f}(re^{i\theta}), \tilde{a}_{\mu(j)} \rangle|} \right) \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + \\
& \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left\{ \frac{\|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\|^{n+1}}{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(\langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a}_{\mu(0)} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a}_{\mu(n)} \rangle)(re^{i\theta})|} \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(1) = I_1 + I_2 + O(1)
\end{aligned}$$

记 $h_{\mu(l)} = \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a}_{\mu(l)} \rangle, g_{\mu(l)} = \frac{h_{\mu(l)}}{h_{\mu(0)}}, 0 \leq l \leq n$, 则 $g_{\mu(0)} = 1$, 显然 $T_{g_{\mu(l)}} \leq T_f(r) + O(1)$. 因此

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left\{ \sum_{\mu \in T} \left(\frac{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(\langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a}_{\mu(0)} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{a}_{\mu(n)} \rangle)(re^{i\theta})|}{\prod_{j=0}^n |\langle \tilde{f}(re^{i\theta}), \tilde{a}_{\mu(j)} \rangle|} \right) \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \\
& \int_0^{2\pi} \log \sum_{\mu \in T} \left(\frac{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(h_{\mu(0)}, h_{\mu(1)}, \dots, h_{\mu(n)})|}{|h_{\mu(0)} h_{\mu(1)} \cdots h_{\mu(n)}|} (re^{i\theta}) \right) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \\
& \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \log \sum_{\mu \in T} \left(\frac{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(h_{\mu(0)}, h_{\mu(1)}, \dots, h_{\mu(n)})|}{|h_{\mu(0)} \bar{h}_{\mu(1)} \cdots \bar{h}_{\mu(n)}|} \right) \left(\frac{|h_{\mu(0)} \bar{h}_{\mu(1)} \cdots \bar{h}_{\mu(n)}|}{|h_{\mu(0)} h_{\mu(1)} \cdots h_{\mu(n)}|} \right) (re^{i\theta}) \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \\
& \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \log \sum_{\mu \in T} \left(\frac{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(h_{\mu(0)}, h_{\mu(1)}, \dots, h_{\mu(n)})|}{|h_{\mu(0)} \bar{h}_{\mu(1)} \cdots \bar{h}_{\mu(n)}|} \right) (re^{i\theta}) \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + \\
& \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \log \left(\frac{|h_{\mu(0)} \bar{h}_{\mu(1)} \cdots \bar{h}_{\mu(n)}|}{|h_{\mu(0)} h_{\mu(1)} \cdots h_{\mu(n)}|} \right) (re^{i\theta}) \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq \\
& \sum_{\mu \in T} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \log \left(\frac{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(h_{\mu(0)}, h_{\mu(1)}, \dots, h_{\mu(n)})|}{|h_{\mu(0)} \bar{h}_{\mu(1)} \cdots \bar{h}_{\mu(n)}|} \right) (re^{i\theta}) \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + \\
& \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \log \left(\frac{|h_{\mu(0)} \bar{h}_{\mu(1)} \cdots \bar{h}_{\mu(n)}|}{|h_{\mu(0)} h_{\mu(1)} \cdots h_{\mu(n)}|} \right) (re^{i\theta}) \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(1)
\end{aligned}$$

其中

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(h_{\mu(0)}, h_{\mu(1)}, \dots, h_{\mu(n)})|}{|h_{\mu(0)} \bar{h}_{\mu(1)} \cdots \bar{h}_{\mu(n)}|} &= \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & g_{\mu(1)} & \cdots & g_{\mu(n)} \\ 1 & \bar{g}_{\mu(1)} & \cdots & \bar{g}_{\mu(n)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \bar{g}_{\mu(1)}^{[n]} & \cdots & \bar{g}_{\mu(n)}^{[n]} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} g_{\mu(1)} & g_{\mu(2)} & \cdots & g_{\mu(n)} \\ \bar{g}_{\mu(1)} & \bar{g}_{\mu(2)} & \cdots & \bar{g}_{\mu(n)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_{\mu(1)}^{[n]} & g_{\mu(2)}^{[n]} & \cdots & g_{\mu(n)}^{[n]} \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \bar{g}_{\mu(1)} & \cdots & \bar{g}_{\mu(n)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \bar{g}_{\mu(1)}^{[n]} & \cdots & \bar{g}_{\mu(n)}^{[n]} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \bar{g}_{\mu(1)} & \bar{g}_{\mu(2)} & \cdots & \bar{g}_{\mu(n)} \\ g_{\mu(1)} & g_{\mu(2)} & \cdots & g_{\mu(n)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_{\mu(1)}^{[n]} & g_{\mu(2)}^{[n]} & \cdots & g_{\mu(n)}^{[n]} \end{vmatrix}} \leq
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i_1+\dots+i_n \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \left| \frac{g_{\mu(1)}^{[i_1]} \dots g_{\mu(n)}^{[i_n]}}{g_{\mu(1)} g_{\mu(2)} \dots g_{\mu(n)}} \right|}{\left| \frac{g_{\mu(1)} g_{\mu(2)} \dots g_{\mu(n)}}{g_{\mu(1)} g_{\mu(2)} \dots g_{\mu(n)}} \right|} = \frac{\sum_{i_1+\dots+i_n \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \sum_{l=1}^n \left| \frac{g_{\mu(l)}^{[i_l]}}{g_{\mu(l)}} \right|}{\left| \frac{g_{\mu(1)} g_{\mu(2)} \dots g_{\mu(n)}}{g_{\mu(1)} g_{\mu(2)} \dots g_{\mu(n)}} \right|}$$

结合引理 2 和引理 3 可知

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \left| \frac{g_{\mu(l)}}{g_{\mu(l)}} \right| (re^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &\leq S(r, f) \\ \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \left| \frac{g_{\mu(l)}^{[l]}}{g_{\mu(l)}} \right| (re^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &\leq S(r, f) \\ \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \left| \frac{\bar{h}_{\mu(l)}^{[l]}}{h_{\mu(l)}} \right| (re^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &\leq S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

故

$$\sum_{\mu \in T} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \log \left(\frac{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(h_{\mu(0)}, h_{\mu(1)}, \dots, h_{\mu(n)})|}{|h_{\mu(0)} \bar{h}_{\mu(1)} \dots \bar{h}_{\mu(n)}|} \right) (re^{i\theta}) \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq$$

$S(r, f)$.

且

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left\{ \left(\frac{|h_{\mu(0)} \bar{h}_{\mu(1)} \dots \bar{h}_{\mu(n)}|}{|h_{\mu(0)} h_{\mu(1)} \dots h_{\mu(n)}|} \right) (re^{i\theta}) \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &\leq \\ \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{\bar{h}_{\mu(l)}^{[l]}}{h_{\mu(l)}} \right| (re^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &\leq S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

所以

$$I_1 \leq S(r, f)$$

又

$$I_2 = \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left\{ \frac{\|\tilde{f}\|^{n+1}}{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(<\tilde{f}_0, \tilde{a}_{\mu(0)}>, \dots, <\tilde{f}_n, \tilde{a}_{\mu(n)}>)(re^{i\theta})|} \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

由引理 4 可得

$$|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(<\tilde{f}_0, \tilde{a}_{\mu(0)}>, \dots, <\tilde{f}_n, \tilde{a}_{\mu(n)}>)| =$$

这里 $C \neq 0$ 是常数, 则

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left\{ \frac{\|\tilde{f}\|^{n+1}}{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(<\tilde{f}_0, \tilde{a}_{\mu(0)}>, \dots, <\tilde{f}_n, \tilde{a}_{\mu(n)}>)(re^{i\theta})|} \right\} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \\ &\int_0^{2\pi} \log \|\tilde{f}\|^{n+1} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f_0, \dots, f_n)|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(1) = \\ &(n+1)T_f(r) - N(r, W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f)) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

结合 I_1, I_2 , 从而引理 6 可证.

$N+2$, 则 $W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) \equiv 0$.

证明 倘若不然, 可假设 $W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) \equiv 0$. 由定理 5 可得

$$\sum_{j=1}^q m_f(r, H_j) + N(r, W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) = 0) \leq (n+1)T_f(r) + S(r, f)$$

结合第一基本定理得

$$(q-n-1)T_f(r) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q N_f(r, H_j) - N(r, W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) = 0) + S(r, f)$$

设 $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}, <f, H_j>(z_0) = 0$ 重数为 $m(m > 1)$, 又 f 与 $\Delta_{q,c}f$ CM 分担 $H_j(j=1, \dots, q)$, z_0 作为 $<\Delta_{q,c}^l(f), H_j>$ 的零点, 重数也为 $m(m > 1), l=1, \dots, n$, 所以 z_0 作为 $W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f)$ 的零点, 重数至少为 m . 故

$$\sum_{j=1}^q N_f(r, H_j) - N(r, W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) = 0) \leq 0$$

所以 $(q-n-1)T_f(r) \leq 0$. 又 $q > N+2$, 故得出矛盾.

因此

$$W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) \equiv 0.$$

(下转第 527 页)

3 定理 5 的证明

结合引理 5 和引理 6 可得

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \max_K \sum_{k \in K} \lambda_{H_k}(f(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + N(r, W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) = 0) &\leq \\ (n+1)T_f(r) + S(r, f) & \\ \sum_{j=1}^q m_f(r, H_j) &\leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \max_{\mu \in T} \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_{H_{\mu(i)}}(f(re^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(1)$$

两式相加可得

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^q m_f(r, H_j) + N(r, W^{\Delta_{q,c}}(f) = 0) &\leq \\ (n+1)T_f(r) + S(r, f) & \end{aligned}$$

于是定理得证.

推论 设 $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ 是一个零级全纯映射, 并且 $f(\mathbb{C}) \not\subset H_i, i=1, \dots, q, H_1, \dots, H_q$ 是 q 个处于一般位置的超平面, f 与 $\Delta_{q,c}f$ CM 分担 H_j . 若 $q \geq$

Applied Sciences, 2016, 39(3): 475 – 487.

- [12] WANG J R, ZHOU Y, FEČKAN M. On recent developments in the theory of boundary value problems for impulsive fractional differential equations [J]. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 2012, 64: 3008 – 3020.
- [13] FEČKAN M, ZHOU Y, WANG J R. On the concept and existence of solution for impulsive fractional differential equations [J]. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 2012, 17: 3050 – 3060.
- [14] HERNANDEZ E, O' REGAN D. On a new class of abstract impulsive differential equations [J]. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2013, 141(5): 1641 – 1649.
- [15] PIERRI M, O' REGAN D, ROLNIK V. Existence of solutions for semi-linear abstract differential equations with not instantaneous impulses [J]. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2013, 219(12): 6743 – 6749.
- [16] GAUTA G R, DABAS J. Mild solutions for class of

neutral fractional functional differential equations with not instantaneous impulses [J]. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2015, 259(15): 480 – 489.

- [17] AGARWAL R, O' REGAN D, HRISTOVA S. Monotone iterative technique for the initial value problem for differential equations with non-instantaneous impulses [J]. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2017, 298(1): 45 – 56.
- [18] WANG J R, ZHOU Y, LIN Z. On a new class of impulsive fractional differential equations [J]. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2014, 242(1): 649 – 657.
- [19] LIN Z, WANG J, WEI W. Multipoint BVPs for generalized impulsive fractional differential equations [J]. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 2015, 258: 608 – 616.
- [20] WANG J R, ZHANG Y. A class of nonlinear differential equations with fractional integrable impulses [J]. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 2014, 19: 3001 – 3010.

(编辑:石 瑛)

(上接第 520 页)

参考文献:

- [1] NEVANLINNA R. Zur theorie der meromorphen funktionen [J]. Acta Mathematica, 1925, 46(1): 1 – 99.
- [2] CARTAN H. Sur les systèmes de fonctions holomorphes à variétés linéaires lacunaires et leurs applications [J]. Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, 1928, 45(3): 255 – 346.
- [3] HUANG Y T, WONG P M. Prediction of reservoir permeability using genetic algorithms [J]. IEEE International Fuzzy Systems Conference, 1999, 3(3): 1528 – 1533.
- [4] WONG P M, STOLL W. Second main theorem of Nevanlinna theory for non-equidimensional meromorphic maps [J]. American Journal of Mathematics, 1994, 116(5): 1031 – 1071.
- [5] WONG P M. On the Second Main Theorem of Nevanlinna theory [J]. American Journal of Mathematics, 1989, 111(4): 549 – 583.
- [6] WONG P M. Applications of Nevanlinna theory to geometric problems [C] // Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference of Chinese Mathematicians.

Hong Kong, China: The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2004: 523 – 594.

- [7] WONG P P M, WONG P W. The second main theorem on generalized parabolic manifolds [M] // ESCASSUT A, TUTSCHKE W, YANG C C. Some Topics on Value Distribution and Differentiability in Complex and P-Adic Analysis. Beijing: Science Press, 2008: 1 – 41.
- [8] HALBURD R G, KORHONEN R J. Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator [J]. Annales-Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Mathematica, 2006, 31(2): 463 – 478.
- [9] HALBURD R G, KORHONEN R J. Existence of finite-order meromorphic solutions as a detector of integrability in difference equations [J]. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 2006, 218(2): 191 – 203.
- [10] RU M. Nevanlinna theory and its relation to Diophantine approximation [M]. River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 2001.
- [11] PIT-MANN W, HIU-FAI L, WONG P P. A Second Main Theorem on $P \sim n$ for difference operator [J]. Science in China, 2009(12): 2751 – 2758.

(编辑:丁红艺)